

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-863 of 2016

Gurdial Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

Crl. Misc. No. M-29932 of 2015

Gurmeet Kaur

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

Date of decision : 15.03.2016

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Siddarth Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.P.S. Sidhu, AAG Punjab

Mr. Manpreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Two separate petitions have been filed which are proposed to be taken up together.

The petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law and are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 19 dated 12.03.2015 registered at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda under Sections 304-B, 498-A, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 148 and 149 IPC deleted later on).

Challan has been presented against the husband.

Veerpal Kaur was married in March 2013. She was strangled

Ligature marks were found on the neck. The incident took place in

morning at 8 a.m. The complainant (father) named the husband, sister-in-law, father-in-law and mother-in-law. The husband has since been arrested. It is not disputed that all of them were living in the same house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contends that story put forward by the complainant appears to be false on the face of it and had he seen the accused harming his daughter, he would not have gone home and would have reported the matter to the police. The counsel further states that a compromise has been effected. He further states that the sister-in-law had been allowed anticipatory bail against whom similar allegations were made.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant states that a compromise has been effected.

Learned State counsel submits that challan has been presented and they would be filing supplementary challan against the father-in-law and mother-in-law but they could not be arrested.

Veerpal Kaur had died within two years of her marriage in the matrimonial home. All the family members were at home. The girl had been strangled with a chuni, there were ligature marks. The complainant had levelled allegations about the role played by the petitioners. The allegations are serious. I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail. It is for the trial Court to determine if the allegations were false.

The petitions are dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

March 15, 2016

reena