

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
HIGH COURT, AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 8581 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.09.2016**

Vishal Punchhi alias RinkuPetitioner

versus

Satnam Singh and anotherRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.P.S.Jammu, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, Addl.AG, Punjab

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner in Criminal Complaint No. 55-2 of 25.07.2014 titled as Satnam Singh v. Rinku, under Section 3 of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 323 IPC, at P.S.Arniwala Sheikh Subhan, District Fazilka.

On 11.03.2016 the following order was passed:-

“ Petitioner apprehends arrest pursuant to the summoning order issued in a private complaint under Section 3 of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he had assaulted the complainant and abused him in the name of his caste.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that on account of a litigation between the father of the petitioner and the complainant, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the

case. Reliance has been placed on the copy of order passed in the civil litigation Annexure P4.

It is claimed that the petitioner is a commission agent and the complainant owes money to the firm of the petitioner.

Notice to the respondents for 12.7.2016.

Meanwhile, an interim direction is issued that the petitioner will put in appearance before the trial Court within a period of 15 days from today. In case of petitioner doing so, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the summoning Court.”

On 12.07.2016 the following order was passed:-

“ Learned Additional Advocate General states that though the State has been impleaded as party yet the case belongs to the complaint under the SC/ST Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 11.03.2016 the petitioner has surrendered before the Court who has released him on interim bail.

Adjourned to 20.09.2016 to await the appearance on behalf of respondent No.1.”

Even today none has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.1.

In the circumstances interim order dated 11.03.2016 is made absolute. Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the Criminal Misc.Application, if any also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

September 20, 2016
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No