

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA ATCHANDIGARH

-:-

Civil Writ Petition No. 11840 of 2011

Date of decision : May 18, 2016

Nanak Singh Mahal

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. R.D.Anand, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate, for the Caveator.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

Short affidavit of the petitioner has been filed in Court. The same is taken on record.

The dispute between the parties does not require adjudication in writ jurisdiction in the first instance but on the other hand deserves to be best left with the option that the management and the petitioner would sit together and sort out their differences with each other with respect to claims for money. Liberty is given to petitioner to make a representation before the college authorities for them to pass a speaking order thereon. Consequently, the petitioner would submit his representation within three weeks and the same would be decided within six weeks, thereafter. In case the petitioner is found entitled to his claim, the same be paid to the petitioner within reasonable time and preferably within two months after the decision.

With the above observations and directions, the petition is disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

May 18, 2016
tripti