

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-8511 of 2016

Date of decision : 16.03.2016

Raj Kumar @ Raju

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ajay Ghangas, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 920 dated 30.06.2015 registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat under Sections 328, 376, 506, 120-B IPC and Section 4 of POCSO Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was arrested on 16.07.2015 and statement of the victim has been recorded. He further contends that the allegations are that the victim was taken by the aunt to the office of the accused to fill the form under Ladli Scheme and the girl returned with the aunt on the same day and the victim in her statement made in the Court stated that she did not know whether she was raped. The counsel further urges that there are disputes between the complainant and Asha, the co-accused and the case was false.

The statement of the victim has been recorded. Out of 20 witnesses the prosecution has already examined 11 witnesses. The prosecutrix is admitted to the litigation pending between the complainant and the aunt. The FSL report has been received. The MLR does not show any injury.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and considering the fact that the trial will take time to conclude, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

March 16, 2016

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

reena