

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-9642 of 2015

Date of decision: March 18, 2016.

Satish Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Kler, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

Ms. Rimple Saini, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

The petition is for quashing of FIR No.166 dated 18.12.2014, registered under Sections 406, 420 IPC, at Police Station Nangal, District Roopnagar, along with all consequential proceedings.

Report of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Anandpur Sahib received. Learned Magistrate has recorded the statements of the complainant as well as the accused and has reported that the parties have effected compromise which is voluntary and without any undue influence. Statements of the parties have also been enclosed with the report. The dispute was regarding payment of money for sending the respondents abroad

As the matter has been amicably settled, FIR No.166 dated 18.12.2014, registered under Sections 406, 420 IPC, at Police Station Nangal, District Roopnagar, along with all consequential proceedings stands quashed.

The petition is accordingly allowed.

March 18, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge