

134

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-839 of 2016 (O/M)

Date of decision : 4.4.2016

Raj Kumari

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Ghumman, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 22.11.2013 (Annexure-P-2), passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sohna, vide which he refused to send the complaint to the police under Section 156 (3) Cr.P.C. for registration of FIR and after taking the cognizance of the complaint, fixed it for preliminary evidence. The said order was unsuccessfully challenged before the first appellate Court.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that it was the discretion of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class. If the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class has himself taken the cognizance and decided to try the same, there is no illegality in the same. Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

4.4.2016
sjks