

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8349 of 2016  
DECIDED ON: March 09, 2016

OM PARKASH AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None.

\*\*\*\*\*

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Petition perused. Documents gone through.

2. Through this petition moved under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought directions to respondents No. 2 to 4 to take action on the representations/applications (Annexures P-2 and P-3) moved by them.

3. From the contents of the petition, it appears that though representations dated 17.01.2016 and 10.02.2016 were moved by the petitioners to respondents No.2 and 3 but till date no action has been taken.

4. Accordingly, present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representations dated 17.01.2016 and 10.02.2016 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) respectively, and if

some substance is found, to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. Copy of this order be sent to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, for its compliance.

**March 09, 2016**  
**sonika**

**(JASPAL SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**