

GURPREET KAUR
2016.04.01 12:31

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-8334 of 2016
Date of Decision: 31.03.2016

Sunil Chowdhary

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.125 dated 24.03.2015 registered under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 323/34 IPC at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with respondent No.2 on 29.11.2009 and thereafter, both the parties were residing separately. A divorce petition was also filed by the petitioner. Subsequently, the present FIR has been registered.

Admittedly, the investigation has not been completed so far. It cannot be said that the challan is going to be presented against the petitioner. It is for the Investigating Agency as to whether the offence is made out or not. To interfere at this stage would amount to interference with the investigation. The FIR cannot be quashed at this stage.

The petition is accordingly dismissed at this stage.

31.03.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE