

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-8330 of 2016

Date of decision:- May 27, 2016

Sikander Singh and others

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kulwinder Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through this petition preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, petitioners have sought quashing of FIR No.17, dated 17.02.2014, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Sadar, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 18.01.2016 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that compromise has been arrived

at between the parties with the help of respectable persons without any pressure or coercion. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest. Compromise is the soul of justice, which not only enhances the social amity, harmony and peace but also reduces friction and discord.

3. So, in view of above circumstances and in view of the pronouncement captioned as Kulwinder Singh & others v. State of Punjab & others; 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, the instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.17, dated 17.02.2014, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 120-B IPC, Police Station Sadar, District Sangrur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

May 27, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE