

CRM-M-8312-2016

Date of decision: 11.08.2016

Kuljit Singh @ Happy Pendu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on merits, vehemently urged that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been named in the supplementary statements of the complainant to the effect that petitioner had arrived at on the spot on his motorcycle after the occurrence.

Learned State counsel at this stage informs that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and that trial is likely to be concluded within a period of one month.

I have considered the facts and circumstances of the case. Taking into consideration the stage of the trial, it would not be appropriate for this Court to express any opinion on the merits of the case by appreciating the evidence at this stage.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to conclude/record the defence evidence and dispose of the trial expeditiously. In case, trial is not concluded within a period of one month after next date of hearing, it will be open for the Court to grant bail to the petitioner to its satisfaction.

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE

August 11, 2016

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/ No

Whether reportable:

Yes/ No