

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8233 of 2016

Date of decision: October 25, 2016

Harsh Modi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. H.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties and perused the interim order dated 06.09.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In FIR No.266 dated 08.12.2015, under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kotwali Patiala, District Patiala, petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail.

The fraud alleged against the petitioner and her husband is to the tune of ₹2 crores for inducing the investors in the *kitty* run by H.K. Modi Jewellers. This Court had issued interim order dated 06.09.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Pursuant to the said order, the petitioner is said to have joined investigation. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Davinder Singh, states that the custody is not

required.

Looking to the entire matter and the petitioner's husband having been already arrested, I am inclined to confirm the interim order dated 06.09.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 06.09.2016 granting ad-interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

October 25, 2016

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No