

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-8126 of 2016
Date of Decision: April 26, 2016**

Alla Datta **Petitioner**

vs.

State of Punjab **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The comments of the Presiding Officer have been received, which show that consecutive dates for summoning the witnesses were not given as directed by this Court. It appears that the lower court has misunderstood the order and did not summon the witnesses by granting consecutive dates.

However, it comes out that out of 16 witnesses, 4 witnesses have been examined and 7 are given up and 5 witnesses are remained to be examined.

Keeping view the number of pending cases, the lower court is directed to examine all the remaining prosecution witnesses within next three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

The State is directed to produce all the remaining witnesses before the said officer. Now, short adjournment for not more than two weeks shall be given to examine the prosecution witnesses.

However, keeping in view the allegations of gang rape, this Court does not deem it a fit case for granting regular bail to the accused-petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

April 26, 2016
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE