

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8079 of 2016
Date of decision : 05.09.2016

Sunil Babbar

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY.

Present: Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, APP for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Rajinder S. Rana, Advocate for the complainant.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J. (Oral)

State Counsel says that Investigating Officer has verified that couple had moved to the rented accommodation after one year and the father of the complainant had paid the arrears of rent. The girl had also made the statement that she had taken the household goods but her jewellery was not given. It would be matter of trial.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 16.03.2016 granted interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

05.09.2016

pawan

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes

Whether reportable:-

No