

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-8068 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.3.2016

Ram Juari

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. J.K. Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, D.A.G., Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.48 dated 19.1.2016 under sections 420, 120-B I.P.C, registered at Police Station, City Thanesar (Haryana).

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

A brief factual backdrop that has emerged during the course of arguments is that daughter of the present petitioner namely Manisha Goel is an accused in case F.I.R No.434 dated 8.9.2015 under sections 406,420, 419, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B I.P.C, registered at Police Station, Shahbad. A petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C was moved on behalf of Manisha Goel seeking benefit of regular bail.

It has gone uncontroverted that during the course of hearing of such bail petition a wedding invitation card was produced before the Court and as per which the marriage ceremony of Manisha Goel was fixed to be solemnized on 15.12.2015.

Apparently, the contents and veracity of such invitation card was gone into and on the basis of a report submitted by the S.H.O, it was

found that the same was fake and forged. Findings recorded in this regard are that the marriage hall mentioned in the marriage invitation card does not even exist and there was no booking for 15.12.2015 as per description in the marriage invitation card.

Needless to observe that the marriage invitation card was produced by the present petitioner only to facilitate and to procure a favorable order from the competent court for grant of benefit of bail to his daughter Manisha Goel.

Such document/invitation card having been found to be forged, this Court is not inclined to extend concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Petition, accordingly, is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

28.3.2016
lucky