

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-8055 of 2016

Date of Decision: 18.05.2016

Dimple Kansal & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

On the last date of hearing, fresh notice was issued to the respondents no.4 and 5, as earlier, notice could not be issued to them for want of process fee. Today, again, as per office report, notices could not be issued, as counsel for the petitioners has not filed the process fee.

No one is present on behalf of the petitioners.

However, learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of Abhimanyu Lohan, HPS, DSP, Palwal which contains the statements of the petitioners to the effect that they are living happily with their families and since they do not apprehend any danger from anybody, they do not require any police protection.

In view of the aforesaid, no further order is called for in the present petition.

Disposed of.

May 18, 2016
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE