

Sr. No. 231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-7986 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.04.2016**

Harpinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. A.S.Sekhon, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D.Sachdeva, Additional A.G.Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.229 dated 13.11.2015, under Sections 307/324/323/120-B/148/149 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Kotwali Faridkot, District Faridkot.

Counsel at the very outset states that the name of the petitioner has been wrongly mentioned in the FIR as Parminder Singh.

State counsel would submit that in the supplementary statement recorded of Naginder Singh eye-witness, it has been clarified that it was Harpinder Singh who was present at the time of occurrence and was armed with an iron rod.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Kala Singh

in relation to an occurrence that took place on 13.11.2015. Complainant/injured Kala Singh is stated to have been assaulted by a number of persons including the present petitioner who was alleged to be armed with an iron rod. Specific role attributed to the petitioner is of having given an iron rod blow on the left knee. The injuries attributed to the petitioner have been opined to be simple in nature. Injured otherwise is stated to have suffered as many as 25 injuries and 20 of which were incised wounds caused by a sharp edged weapon.

It has been asserted that injuries attributed to co-accused Sukhdeep Singh armed with a Kirpan and other assailants also armed with sharp edged weapons have attracted offence under Section 307 IPC.

Petitioner has been in custody since 14.11.2015.

Challan having been presented, charges have been framed and the trial is stated to be at the very initial stage.

In view of the above and without making any observations on merits, petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Faridkot.

Disposed of.

11.04.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**