

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.2417 of 2013
Date of decision: 13.07.2016

Vishal Sareen

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Upinder Prasher, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Panu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2, 3, 5 and 6.

Mr. Rahul Makkar, Advocate for
Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Ajay Tewari, J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed against the order dated 16.01.2013 releasing the respondent on probation.

Learned counsel has argued that with respect to the same incident the private respondents had lodged a FIR and the petitioner was arrested but later on that FIR was got cancelled being a false complaint.

In my opinion, this would not be no ground to enhance the punishment.

In my opinion, the interest of justice would be met if the order of probation is maintained and private respondents are directed

to pay the compensation Rs. 10,000/- each to the petitioner. In case the compensation is not deposited within two months the present petition would be deemed to be allowed and the order of the Appellate Court would be deemed to be set aside.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

July 13, 2016
sonia

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE