

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

**Criminal Misc. No. M-7958 of 2016
Date of Decision:-04.3.2016**

Sangeeta Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Shalimar Town Planners Pvt. Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

The petitioner has filed the present petition seeking quashing of criminal complaint No.942 dated 6.10.2012 titled as 'Shalimar Town Planner Pvt. Ltd. vs. Shyambir and others', under Sections 420, 467, 468, 469, 470 and 471 IPC (Annexure P-1) and the summoning order dated 31.3.2014 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad.

Admittedly, against the order of summoning passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad, the remedy of revision is available. However, without availing the said remedy,

the petitioner has approached this Court, therefore, no interference is warranted as the petitioner has not availed the remedy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition so as to avail the remedy of revision against the order of summoning dated 31.3.2014.

In case the petitioner file the revision petition against the summoning order dated 31.3.2014 within one month from today, the trial Court shall not dismiss the same only on the ground of limitation.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

March 04, 2016
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE