

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-7889 of 2016

Date of decision: 10.03.2016

Jaimal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. D.S.Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

.

HARI PAL VERMA, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Karamjit Singh states that in the case in hand, the trial is at the fag end of its conclusion and only one witness remains to be examined, namely the SHO concerned, who is in judicial custody.

Noticing the advance stage of trial, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed, at this stage.

[HARI PAL VERMA]
JUDGE

10.03.2016
Vinay