

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7873 of 2016.
Decided on:-04.03.2016.

Tirath Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Jagmeet Singh Moudgill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 and 2 to take against respondents No.4 to 6, who are allegedly threatening the petitioner with the help of petitioner No.3 to vacate the house purchased by the petitioner from respondent No.4.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to hand over requisite number of complete copies of the paper book to learned State

counsel during course of the day.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that at this stage, he would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to take decision on representation dated 5.1.2016 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the aforesaid representation and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

March 04, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE