

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(210)

**CRM-M- 7833-2016 (O&M)
Decided on: September 06, 2016.**

Krishan Pal

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate, for the complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently urged that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case of murder of his wife who was his third wife.

The story of the prosecution, as per counsel for the petitioner, is absolutely improbable based upon weak evidence.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has informed that all the prosecution witnesses have been examined.

In view of the stage of the trial, I do not deem it appropriate to enter into the niceties of the trial to determine the culpability of the petitioner on the basis of appreciation of evidence, lest it should prejudice the right of the prosecution agency or the accused. It will be expedient, in the interest of justice, to issue a direction to the trial Court to conclude the

trial within a period of two months after the next date of hearing by giving fair opportunity to the petitioner to produce defence evidence by facilitating the service of the summons through the process of the Court in case needed.

This petition is disposed of with abovesaid directions. It will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again, in case, the trial is not concluded within the period mentioned hereinabove.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 06, 2016

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No