

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-780 of 2016**

Date of Decision: 11.01.2016

Rano Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY**

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner as in spite of moving representation, which is annexed as Annexure P-1 with the petition, no action has been taken.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued by this Court to respondent No.2 to decide the representation moved by her within some stipulated period.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 02.09.2015, which is annexed as Annexure P-1 with the petition and take necessary action in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

11.01.2016  
**gurpreet**