

**265 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7793 of 2016

Date of decision: August 29, 2016

Sukhdev Kumar @ Sukhdev Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.P.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Randeep Singh Rana, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.0007 dated 14.01.2016, under Sections 452, 427, 379, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Phagwara City, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 28.01.2016 (Annexure P-2) alleged to have been arrived at in between the parties.

2. Report of learned trial Court has been received, wherein, it has been categorically observed that the statements of both the parties are genuine and not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. Compromise entered into between the parties is valid. Even otherwise, matter involved in these proceedings is personal in nature, which has been

amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of circumstances narrated above as well as the observations made in cases reported as *Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another*; 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and *Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another (2014) 6 SCC 466*, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for the benefit of the parties and would certainly bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.0007 dated 14.01.2016, under Sections 452, 427, 379, 506, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Phagwara City, District Kapurthala and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua petitioners.

August 29, 2016
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No