

**Sr. No. 222
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-7768 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.03.2016

Robin Rawal alias Ravi Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA. J (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.121 dated 16.05.2015, under Sections 395/412/342/323/120-B of Indian Penal Code read with Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Tripri Town, District Patiala.

FIR was registered on the statement of Darshan Singh who is working as Manager with Muthoot Finance Corporation Limited, Branch Preet Nagar, Tripri, Patiala. Occurrence is stated to be of 16.05.2015. Complainant stated that at about 4.30 p.m. while he was working in his cabin, the present petitioner as also co-accused Navneet Kaur who were employees with the Finance Corporation were sitting on their respective seats and working.

Some unidentified persons were stated to have entered the premises and on the threat of putting a pistol on the forehead of the complainant, he was locked inside a bathroom. The mouths of

present petitioner and Navneet Kaur were also stated to have been taped. Keys of strong room were taken from the petitioner and Navneet Kaur and gold weighing around 11 Kg.260 gram and a total cash of Rs.2,50,000/- approximately was taken away.

Even as per initial version of the complainant, two young boys had entered the premises of the Finance Company and who had later been joined by another youth who was carrying a bag.

It has gone uncontroverted that even as per final challan document three young boys have been attributed the role of having put a pistol on the forehead of the complainant, of having taken out a knife and having taped the mouth of the complainant and of having sprayed on the CCTV cameras fixed inside the office premises and these are stated to be Sukhwinder Singh, Gurpreet Singh and Gaurav Singla respectively.

Insofar as the present petitioner is concerned, the allegations are that the loot was shared in his premises and thereafter a recovery of 2 kgs. and 135 grams of gold was allegedly effected from him.

Petitioner has been in custody since 17.05.2015. Implication of the present petitioner prima facie would be with the aid of Section 120-B IPC.

Court has been apprised that the trial is still at the very initial stage and as such would take time to conclude.

Without making any observations on merits and in view of the facts and circumstances noticed hereinabove, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Patiala.

Disposed of.

16.03.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**