

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7736-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: July 15, 2016.

Ashish Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Saurav Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Gazi Mohd. Umair, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

Heard learned Counsel for the rival parties. In a pending trial, the petitioner was absent on 7.10.2014 before the trial Judge, as a result of which non-bailable warrants were issued against him. The reason advanced by the petitioner for his absence on 7.10.2014, that he was admitted in the de-addiction centre, has been found to be incorrect by the Sessions Court.

Be that as it may, since the trial is yet to be completed and the petitioner undertakes to attend the court henceforth with promptitude, I think the petitioner need not be pushed in jail and he should be released on bail subject to his paying costs of Rs.5,000/- to the State. In that view of the matter, the petitioner shall continue to be on bail in FIR No.55 dated

22.3.2013, registered under Section 27 of of the Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Cantonment, District Amritsar, before the trial court and shall attend the trial with promptitude. Fresh bail bonds be furnished to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Disposed of.

July 15, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge