

114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7664 of 2016
DECIDED ON: MARCH 02, 2016

SAROOP KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. R.S. Bal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take action on the application (Annexure P-1) and further to take legal action against respondents No.4 to 8.

2. It has been *inter-alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that large number of representations/complaints of different dates were moved to respondents No.2 and 3 but despite that fact police did not pay any heed. The last representation was moved to respondent No.2 on January 27, 2015 (Annexure P-14) but even on that representation no action has so far been taken.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

4. At the asking of Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day.

5. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Rohtak to get the matter enquired into and to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his latest representation dated January 27, 2015 (Annexure P-14) and if the allegations levelled in the various representations including the latest representation are *prima-facie* established, to take an appropriate action in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

MARCH 02, 2016
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE