

**131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-7643 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 15, 2016**

Rattan Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Rao Ajender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present petition is the order dated 24.02.2016 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Narnaul, vide which the application filed by the petitioner for transferring the cases titled as "Rattan vs Anil and another" and criminal complaint titled as "Anil vs Sumer and others" from the court of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Narnaul to some other court, was dismissed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

After going through the impugned order, it comes out that earlier also two applications for transferring the aforesaid cases were dismissed on one or the other ground. It is up to the learned Sessions Judge, Narnaul to examine whether the case is to be transferred or not.

In these circumstances, this Court does not find any ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 15, 2016