

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.253

CRM No.M-8779 of 2015

Date of Decision: January 19, 2016

Pardeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been preferred under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No. 89, dated 25.04.2006, under Sections 302, 450, 148, 149, 120-B and 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom being an abuse of

the process of the Law.

At the very outset of arguments, it has emerged that the impugned order dated November 03, 2007 (Annexure P-5), whereby the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender has since been recalled by the learned Magistrate, Ludhiana vide order dated January 06, 2016 and concerned SHO has already been directed to delete his name in the entry regarding the proceedings of proclaimed offender qua the petitioner. It is also undisputed fact that the petitioner has been found innocent during enquiry as well as investigation conducted by the police.

Thus, in the circumstances, the instant petition has rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of.

January 19, 2016

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**