

Sr. No.213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-7592 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.05.2016

Ravinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S.Virk, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.93 dated 03.05.2012, under Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar Tehsil and District Jalandhar.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 02.03.2016:-

“The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.93 dated 03.05.2012, under Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar.

Counsel would submit that the petitioner was apprehended on the spot but thereafter was bailed out. It is further submitted that the petitioner all through has been associating and appearing before the trial Court but only on

account of non-appearance on one solitary date i.e. on 14.12.2015, his bail bonds and surety bonds have been cancelled and non-bailable warrants of arrest have been issued. In support of such assertion, counsel has referred to the zimni orders for the period 18.12.2013 till the passing of the impugned order dated 14.12.2015 and which would demonstrate that the petitioner had duly appeared before the trial Court.

It is contended by the counsel that absence on 14.12.2015 of the petitioner was on account of bonafide reasons and in any case the intent was not to evade the trial proceedings.

Notice of motion, returnable on 25.05.2016.

In the meanwhile, it is directed that in case the petitioner duly appears before the trial Court on the date already fixed i.e. on 08.03.2016, he would be entitled to ad interim protection as regards arrest subject to satisfaction of the trial Court.”

During the course of hearing today, it has gone uncontroverted that the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has been admitted to interim bail upon furnishing requisite bail bonds.

Petitioner having joined trial proceedings, prayer made in the present petition is accepted.

Order dated 02.03.2016, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

25.05.2016

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**