

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-7551 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 02, 2016**

Reethu Singh **Petitioner**

vs.

State of Punjab and another **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner claims that there was no negligence on the part of the petitioner. It is further stated that now after the investigation, challan has been presented.

If the petitioner claims that from the evidence collected by the police during investigation, a criminal case is not made out, he can address this argument at the time of framing of charge.

The present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to raise all the available pleas at the time of framing of charge. If such pleas are raised, the lower court shall deal with each argument and pass a speaking order.

March 02, 2016
sarita

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**