

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-7543 of 2016

Date of Decision: 21.04.2016

Shikha Devi and another

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. G.S. Chahal, APP, U.T. Chandigarh.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed praying for the directions for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who have entered into matrimony against the wishes of their parents.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. Even on the last date of hearing i.e. 16.03.2016, nobody has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioners. The order dated 01.03.2016 passed by this Court has also not complied with.

On the other hand, learned APP appearing for U.T. Chandigarh, on instructions from SI-Chander Singh, submits that the petitioners have not approached the respondents for the relief claimed in the instant petition. Even a letter dated 23.03.2016 has been received from the Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T,

Chandigarh. The relavant part of the said letter reads as under:-

“The matter has been got looked into by SDPO/East, who has reported that the petitioner couple has not been found residing at the given address. Moreover, statement of Sh. Anil Kumar S/o Pritam Singh (owner of # 183/11, Kishangarh, Chandigarh) has been recorded in which he stated that no person namely Ms. Shikha Devi and Sh. Veer Singh were residing at the said address. However, SHO of the area has been instructed to provide necessary help to the petitioner couple as and when they approach the local police for protection.”

In view of the above, it appears that the petitioners are not interested to pursue the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

April 21, 2016
'Anjal'