

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8740-2014
Date of Decision: February 11, 2016

Jagjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Duggal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Additional AG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. S.S. Majithia, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from Sub Inspector, Sulakhan Singh of Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar, submits that as many as fifteen prosecution witnesses have been examined and only formal witnesses remain to be examined.

Sh. S.S. Majithia, Advocate, learned counsel for

respondent No. 2, has also supported the above statement of learned counsel for the State.

In view of the above, Mr. P.P.S. Duggal, Advocate, learned counsel for the petitioner, does not press this petition at this stage.

Disposed of accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

February 11, 2016
apurva