

CRM-M-7509-2016

Date of Decision : 02.06.2016

Dhiraj Rana @ Prince

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI**Present:** Mr. Virender Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate
for the complainant.**M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)**

Petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in a case registered at the instance of complainant, a 17 year old boy alleging that he was taken by the petitioner and co-accused, Bhanu Pratap in a semi-constructed house and was subjected to unnatural sexual offence besides threatening the complainant.

Petitioner has been in custody with effect from 03.07.2014. The statement of the victim has already been recorded. I have gone through the statement and the medico legal report. The defence taken by the petitioner is that on account of litigation pending between the family members of victim and that of Bhanu Pratap, petitioner and Bhanu Pratap have been involved in the case.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, I am of the considered opinion that chance of tampering with the evidence is remote. The trial is likely to take long time as the uncle of the

complainant arrived on the spot and other witnesses are yet to be examined.

Petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

02.06.2016
Neha