

**255 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7508 of 2016
Date of Decision: 17.11.2016**

Narinder Kumar Jain and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of UT, Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

<i>1. Whether Speaking?</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>2. Whether Reportable?</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>4. To be referred to the Reporters or not?</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>5. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>

Present: Mr. C. B. Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. G. S. Chahal, APP, UT, Chandigarh
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ajey Bishnoi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Power of attorney and affidavit on behalf of complainant/respondent No.2 filed; be placed on record.

In the compromise deed (Annexure P-3), both the sides have jointly agreed to seek quashing of proceedings arising out of FIR No.213 dated 22.10.2010 of Police Station Industrial Area, Phase-I, Chandigarh. It was drawn up in respect of offences under Sections 195, 406, 419, 420, 468, 471, 472 read with Section 34 of the IPC.

Admittedly, the dispute involved has basically arisen out of mercantile transaction. Since the grievance of the complainant on that account has been redressed, there is no justification to keep the

proceedings alive any further. Therefore in exercise of its inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C., FIR No.213 dated 22.10.2010 of Police Station Industrial Area, Phase-I, Chandigarh under Sections 195, 406, 419, 420, 468, 471, 472 read with Section 34 of the IPC and its further proceedings are accordingly quashed.

The petition stands allowed.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

November 17, 2016
rittu