

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-749 of 2016.
Decided on:-11.01.2016.

Deepak Sharma.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vaneet Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner confines his prayer to the extent that he is apprehending threat to his life and liberty.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Though apparently it seems that the petitioner has no cause of action, however, taking into consideration the nature of prayer and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda to look into the grievance of the petitioner i.e. threat to his 'life and liberty only', and to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

January 11, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE