

CRM-M-7445-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 17.08.2016

Joginder SinghPetitioner
versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

Miscellaneous application is allowed and documents Annexure P-6 to P-12 are permitted to be taken on record.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel. The case of the prosecution before the trial Court is that it was the car of the petitioner which was used at the time of the occurrence and later on it was found abandoned in the area of village Diwana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no doubt his car is alleged to have been used but as a matter of fact the car had been taken by main accused Satpal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted, referring to the statements of material witnesses examined before the trial Court, Annexures P-6 to P-12, that the petitioner has been involved on the basis of misconceived suspicion.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, I am of the opinion that the culpability of the petitioner is

to be established on the basis of the appreciation of the evidence which is being produced by the prosecution agency before the trial Court. No ground is made out at this stage for grant of bail.

Dismissed.

Without expression of any opinion on merits, it is, however, ordered that trial Court shall conclude the trial within a period of six months after the next date of hearing before the trial Court. In case, the trial is not concluded within a period of six months, from the next date of hearing, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again for grant of regular bail.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

17.08.2016
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No