

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7419 of 2016 (O&M).
Decided on:-02.03.2016.

Billu Singh and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Sandeep S. Majithia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate action against private respondents No.6 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have got registered a case bearing FIR No.34 dated 30.1.2016 under Sections 323, 341, 452, 382, 506, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station City Barnala, District Barnala against the private respondents and it is because of the said FIR, the petitioners are getting threats from them.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of these respondents.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Without making any comments/observations regarding the controversy involved in the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala to look into the contents of representation dated 10.2.2016 (Annexure P-4), stated to have been filed by the petitioners, and to act in accordance with law.

However, it is made clear that in case it is found that such representation has not been made, it would not be obligatory for respondent No.2 to pass any order unless a fresh representation is submitted.

March 02, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE