

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 7370 of 2016
Date of decision : November 22, 2016

Sanjeev Kumar @ Sanjay

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Pooja Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. KS Pannu, DAG Punjab

Mr. KD Sachdev, Advocate
for the complainant.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.249 dated 22.11.2014, registered under Sections 302, 201, 120-B, 149 of the IPC, at Police Station Rajpura City, District Patiala.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been in custody for two years and the matter had been adjourned to

enable the prosecution to examine Murari Lal, Narinder Singh and

Gurmeet Singh Dhillon.

Learned DAG Punjab has accepted that these three witnesses have been examined.

Counsel for the complainant states that these witnesses have clearly inculpated the petitioner.

Be that as it may, without commenting upon the merits of the case, and keeping in view the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future (since 28 more witnesses remain to be examined), and the period of custody already suffered by the petitioner, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Petition stands disposed of.

November 22, 2016
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No