

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc.No.M-7275 of 2016  
Date of Decision:- 31.05.2016**

Sukhdev Singh @ Sukha

....Petitioner(s)

vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent(s)

\*\*\*

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI**

\*\*\*

Present:- Mr. Ajay Arora Bharti, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**M.M.S. Bedi, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner seeks concession of regular bail in a case registered at the instance of Baaz Singh alleging that on 23.5.2013 when complainant along with his brother Kuldeep Singh was sleeping in their house, petitioner along with five other co-accused trespassed into the house after breaking the iron door and gave beatings to Kuldeep Singh by tying him with a pole, with bricks on his head, as a result of which Kuldeep Singh died.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner.

State counsel informs that the petitioner was a member of unlawful assembly and all the co-accused of the petitioner have been convicted.

On asking of the Court, it has been informed that out of total

19 prosecution witnesses, 10 have been examined.

In view of the fact that petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. 14.12.2014 and the co-accused of the petitioner being in custody till date, it will not be appropriate for this Court to enter into the niceties of the trial to appreciate the testimony of the witnesses examined during the course of trial.

The ends of justice would adequately be met in case a direction is issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial within a period of four months after the next date of hearing, by giving short dates, excluding the period of vacation.

Petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid direction.

In case the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again for bail.

**May 31, 2016**  
**poonam**

**( M.M.S. BEDI)**  
**JUDGE**