

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 7242 of 2016
Date of decision : July 21, 2016

Jagroop Singh

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Amit Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. KS Pannu, DAG Punjab

Mr. MS Kang, Advocate
for the complainant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR

No.8, dated 29.1.2016, registered under Sections 452, 323, 148, 149,

It is not disputed that the petitioner and the complainant are closely related (being married to sisters). Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the alleged injury is only under Section 323 of the IPC.

Counsel for the complainant, as well as learned DAG Punjab have, however, argued that apart from the ground of injury what is important is the fact that the petitioner along with 5 or 6 unknown persons came to the house of the complainant and thrashed him in the presence of his family members.

Be that as it may, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Consequently, this petition is allowed and the order dated 29.2.2016 is made absolute.

July 21, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE