

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.7201 of 2016

Date of Decision:-04.05.2016

Mohinder Pal.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Sharma, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
assisted by HC Sohan Dass.

JASWANT SINGH, J (ORAL)

Prayer is under Section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner-Mohinder Pal in case FIR No.10 dated 24.01.2016 for offences punishable under Sections 382, 379 and 411 of Indian Penal Code registered with Police Station PAU, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

As per the allegations in the FIR secret information was received that criminal involved in snatching of mobiles and purses are moving on stolen motor cycles/Activa Scooter, a *nakabandi* was conducted and upon interception, the non applicant/co-accused Jagdev Singh @ Jagga, Baldev Singh @ Rinku were apprehending riding on a snatched motor cycle, while the petitioner-accused Mohinder Pal and co-accused Baljinder

Singh @ Laadi riding a snatched Activa Scooter managed to flee away.

It was contended that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot and his name has been nominated on the disclosure statement of the co-accused, false case has been planted upon the petitioner.

Learned State Counsel assisted by HC Sohan Dass submits that the petitioner has been specifically named in the FIR. The apprehended co-accused on their disclosure statements have specifically named the petitioner as involved in committing of theft/snatching purses from ladies and gents, mobile phones, ear rings, currency notes at many places on different dates, which they have kept as per their respective shares. The recoveries from the arrested co-accused have been effected and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required for recovery of aforesaid articles.

After hearing learned Counsel for the parties, no case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out keeping in view the nature of allegations and further for effecting recovery of aforesaid articles and a fair and effective investigation, custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be required.

Dismissed.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

May 04, 2016
Vinay