

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-7193 of 2016
Date of decision:- April 28, 2016

Arun Kumar @ Sajan and another

...Petitioners...

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present : Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arjinder Singh Sidhu, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

None for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

JASPAL SINGH, J.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for quashing of FIR No.109, dated 28.09.2014, under Section 382/34 of the Indian Penal Code, Police Station City Malerkotla, District Sangrur, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 22.12.2015 (Annexure P-2).

2. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate has been received, in which, it has been categorically observed that compromise has been reached between the parties voluntarily and without any

pressure. Even otherwise, the matter involved is personal in nature, which has been amicably put at rest.

3. So, in view of above circumstances and in view of the pronouncement captioned as **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another ; 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543**, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

4. Consequently, the instant petition stands allowed and FIR No.109, dated 28.09.2014, under Section 382/34 of the Indian Penal Code, Police Station City Malerkotla, District Sangrur, Punjab and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

April 28, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE