

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-7190 of 2016

Date of decision: February 26, 2016.

Jyotsana and another

... **Petitioners**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Uday Chouhan, Advocate.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral):

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 12.8.2015. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-3. The true photostat copy of marks sheet of Senior Secondary Examination of petitioner No.1 and photostat copy of Aadhar card of petitioner No.2, showing their date of birth, have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 19.02.2016 (Annexure-P-5) before the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, petition is disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 19.02.2016 (Annexure-P-5) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

Disposed of accordingly.

February 26, 2016.
kadyan

[Kuldip Singh]
Judge