

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-7170 of 2016

Date of Decision: 27.02.2016

Shano Devi and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to register the FIR against respondents No.4 to 10 as in spite of making complaint, no FIR has been registered and action has not been taken against the accused person(s).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that not only a copy of the complaint has been given but copy of Medico Legal Report has also been attached but in spite of that, no action has been taken by him so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to respondent No.2 to consider their representation.

Keeping in view the limited prayer and without commenting

anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to consider the complaint made by the petitioners and take necessary action thereupon in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

27.02.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE