

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7167 of 2016
DECIDED ON: March 04, 2016**

KAMALJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Petitioner No.1 in person.

Petitioner No.2 in person along with
Mr. Harmanjit Singh Jugait, Advocate.

Mr. RPS Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate,
for respondents No. 4 to 7.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners by providing them police protection.

2. In compliance of order dated February 27, 2016, respondents No. 4 to 7 have produced petitioner No.1(Kamaljit Kaur) in Court today. Petitioner No.1-Kamaljit Kaur has categorically stated that she is living with her parents happily and there is no threat or apprehension to her life and liberty.

3. Accordingly, petition preferred on behalf of petitioner No.1 does not carry any weight, as such, the same is dismissed qua petitioner No.1.

4. However, in view of the allegations made in the petition that the petitioners *inter se* solemnized marriage against the wish and consent of respondents No. 4 to 7 and even, at present, petitioner No.2 (Harpinder Singh) feels apprehension to his life and liberty, the petition in respect of petitioner No.2 is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Bathinda, to decide the representation dated 24.02.2016 (Annexure P-5) qua petitioner No.2, in accordance with law.

March 04, 2016
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE