

**262 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**1. C.R.M-M No.7122 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 07.10.2016**

Jagtar Singh and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another Respondents

2. C.R.M-M No.23912 of 2016 (O&M)

Sukhvir Singh and others Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. N.S.Bhullar, Advocate
for the petitioners (CRM-M-7122 of 2016)
for the respondents (CRM-M-23912 of 2016).

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.1 (CRM-M-7122 of 2016)
for the petitioners (CRM-M-23912 of 2016).

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the above mentioned two petitions.

Since common questions of law and facts are involved therein, they are being decided by this common order. For the sake of convenience the facts are being taken from CRM-M-7122 of 2016.

The petition bearing No.CRM-M-7122 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of F.I.R. No.33 dated 11.06.2015

registered under Sections 307/336/506/34 IPC and Sections 25/27/54/59 of Arms Act, at Police Station Mehalkalan District Barnala and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

The petition bearing No.CRM-M-23912 of 2016 has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of cross case registered under Sections 452/506/294/34 IPC in F.I.R. No.33 dated 11.06.2015 registered under Sections 336/506/34 IPC and Sections 27/54/59 of Arms Act, at Police Station Mehalkalan District Barnala and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties.

On 03.08.2016 the following order was passed :-

“Parties are directed to be present before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on the date fixed i.e. 07.09.2016 or any other date convenient to the Court for recording their statements with regard to compromise. The Court is directed to record the statements of both the parties to its satisfaction to know its genuineness that the statements are not the result of any pressure or coercion in any manner. The Court is further directed to send report along with the statements of the parties with regard to validity or otherwise of the compromise effected between the parties and also intimate whether any criminal case is pending against either of the parties or not before the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 07.10.2016.”

Thereafter, the report of the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Barnala dated 22.09.2016 has been received whereby he has mentioned that the parties had appeared before him and had attested to the fact that a

compromise has indeed taken place between them and that the compromise has been executed voluntarily and without any pressure.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has also accepted this fact.

The Supreme Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another** reported as 2012(4) RCR(Criminal) 543 has discussed in detail the inherent powers of High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint where the parties have entered into compromise except the cases which involve offences such as murder, rape dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have serious impact on society.

In view of the above judicial pronouncement, I am of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings between the parties would be an abuse of the process of law and the present compromise is for their benefit and will bring peace and harmony between them.

Consequently, both the petitions are allowed and the above said FIR and cross-case alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

07.10.2016

Pooja sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No