

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-8263 of 2015

Date of decision : 12.05.2016

Saket

....Petitioner

V/s

Manphool & anr.

....Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for respondent no. 1.

Mr. Arun Luthra, AAG Haryana.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Challenge in the present petition is to order passed by revisional court whereby superdari of the vehicle in question has been handed over to respondent no. 1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vehicle actually belongs to the petitioner. Thus, revisional court has erred in handing over the possession of the vehicle to respondent no. 1.

Plea has been opposed by learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 1. He has placed on record a document showing that he is the registered owner of the vehicle.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

It appears that vehicle was seized under section 207 of the Motor Vehicle Act. Petitioner as well as respondent no. 1 laid their claim as regards ownership of the vehicle. Matter went up before the Sessions Judge, Narnaul in revisional jurisdiction. Said court remanded the matter to Judicial Magistrate Ist Class,

Mohendergarh for consideration afresh. As a result, order dated 27.02.2015 was passed whereby superdari of the vehicle was handed-over to respondent no. 1 on furnishing security in the sum of ₹8.00 lacs. I find no infirmity with the order passed as the document on record showing respondent no. 1 as the registered owner. Petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

May 12, 2016

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE