

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7086 of 2016.
Decided on:-01.03.2016.

Navneet Gill and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. A.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law. However, respondents No.4 and 5 are not accepting their marriage and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life

and liberty of the petitioners, they had moved a representation dated 24.2.2016 (Annexure P-5) to the respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Let requisite number of copies of paper book be given to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

At this stage, Mr. Damanjit Singh Sandhu, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 and states that they would not interfere in the married life of the petitioners. Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 in Court today, is taken on record.

Without going into the validity of the marriage, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate remedial measures, as warranted by law, on the representation dated 24.2.2016 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5.

March 01, 2016
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE