

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc.No.M-7062 of 2016
Date of Decision:- 22.3.2016**

BantiPetitioner(s)

vs.

State of HaryanaRespondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

Present:- Mr. Anil Ghanghas, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

M.M.S. Bedi, J. (Oral)

The petitioner claims that there is only circumstantial evidence of having last seen with the deceased.

Learned State counsel informs that only 3 out of 21 witnesses stand examined. The petitioner has been in custody w.e.f. 22.8.2014.

Since the case of the prosecution qua the petitioner is based on circumstantial evidence and he has been in custody w.e.f. 22.8.2014, this petition is disposed of with a direction that the trial Court shall make an earnest endeavour to conclude evidence within six months after the date of hearing. In case the prosecuting agency is not able to produce the entire evidence within a period of 6 months after the next date of hearing, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again for grant of bail.

**March 22, 2016
poonam**

**(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE**