

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-7058 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.06.2016

Rajbir Kaur & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Bikramjit Aroura, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

This order shall dispose of the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case F.I.R. No.03 dated 26.1.2016 under sections 365, 341, 148, 149 I.P.C (subsequently offences under sections 342, 506, 353, 186 I.P.C and sections 3, 4 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 were added) registered at Police Station, Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

On 17.3.2016, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“During the course of hearing today statement of Baljinder Singh @ Kala recorded on 28.01.2016 has been read out. The same would reveal that the allegations are primarily targetted against husband of petitioner No.1 and father of petitioner No.2 herein and who has been since arrested.

Counsel would argue from the statement itself, it would be apparent that the complainant had been working as a labourer /SIRI under Bhupinder Singh i.e. husband of petitioner No.1 and there was a dispute of money amongst the parties and it is only on such account that the entire family of Bhupinder Singh is sought to be implicated.

List on 03.06.2016.

In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer and to join investigation.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and they shall remain bound by the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Savinder Singh would apprise the Court that the petitioners have since joined investigation and are not required for any custodial interrogation.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 17.3.2016, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

03.06.2016
lucky